CALIFORNIA TAX CREDIT ALLOCATION COMMITTEE

Project Staff Report 2010 Second Round September 22, 2010

Project Number CA-2010-260

Project Name 720 East 11th Street Apartments

Site Address: 720 East 11th Street

Oakland, CA 94606 County: Alameda

Census Tract: 4060.000

Tax Credit Amounts Federal/Annual State/Total

Requested: \$1,211,000 \$0 Recommended: \$1,211,000 \$0

Applicant Information

Applicant: East 11th, L.P.
Contact: Robert Stevenson

Address: 2730 Telegraph Avenue

Berkeley, CA 94705

Phone: 510-841-4410 Fax: 510-548-3502

Email: rstevenson@rcdev.org

General partner(s) or principal owner(s): Resources for Community Development

General Partner Type: Nonprofit

Developer: Resources for Community Development

Investor/Consultant: Community Economics

Management Agent: The John Stewart Company

Project Information

Construction Type: New Construction

Total # Residential Buildings: 2 Total # of Units: 55

No. & % of Tax Credit Units: 54 100% Federal Set-Aside Elected: 40%/60%

Federal Subsidy: HOME / Project-based Section 8 vouchers (16 units - 29%)

Affordability Breakdown by % (Lowest Income Points):

30% AMI: 25 % 35% AMI: 20 % 50% AMI: 20 %

Information

Set-Aside: N/A

Housing Type: Large Family

Geographic Area: North & East Bay Region

TCAC Project Analyst: Gina Ferguson

Unit Mix

18 1-Bedroom Units

17 2-Bedroom Units

17 3-Bedroom Units

3 4-Bedroom Units

55 Total Units

		2010 Rents Targeted	2010 Rents Actual	Proposed Rent
		% of Area Median	% of Area Median	(including
Uni	t Type & Number	Income	Income	utilities)
6	1 Bedroom	30%	15%	\$254
3	1 Bedroom	35%	20%	\$339
2	1 Bedroom	50%	15%	\$254
7	1 Bedroom	55%	55%	\$931
3	2 Bedrooms	30%	20%	\$406
2	2 Bedrooms	30%	30%	\$609
1	2 Bedrooms	35%	35%	\$711
1	2 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$1,016
10	2 Bedrooms	55%	55%	\$1,117
2	3 Bedrooms	30%	20%	\$469
6	3 Bedrooms	35%	20%	\$469
8	3 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$1,174
1	4 Bedrooms	30%	20%	\$524
1	4 Bedrooms	35%	20%	\$524
1	4 Bedrooms	50%	50%	\$1,310
1	3 Bedrooms	Manager's Unit	Manager's Unit	\$0

Project Financing

Estimated Total Project Cost: \$22,774,551 Construction Cost Per Square Foot: \$208 Per Unit Cost: \$414,083

Construction Financing

Permanent Financing

Source	Amount	Source	Amount
Union Bank	\$11,625,655	Union Bank	\$760,000
City of Oakland HOME	\$1,669,503	Union Bank (Section 8)	\$2,042,000
Oakland Redevelopment Agency	\$5,827,497	City of Oakland HOME	\$1,669,503
CalHFA MHSA	\$800,000	Oakland Redevelopment Agency	\$5,827,497
Alameday County HOPWA	\$300,000	CalHFA MHSA	\$800,000
HCD Infill Infrastructure Grant	\$1,537,549	Alameday County HOPWA	\$300,000
NCCLF Grant to GP	\$50,000	HCD Infill Infrastructure Grant	\$1,537,549
		NCCLF Grant to GP	\$50,000
		Deferred Developer Fee	\$100,000
		Tax Credit Equity	\$9,688,002
		TOTAL	\$22,774,551

Determination of Credit Amount(s)

Requested Eligible Basis: \$10,350,431 130% High Cost Adjustment: Yes Applicable Fraction: 100.00% Qualified Basis: \$13,455,560 Applicable Rate: 9.00% Total Maximum Annual Federal Credit: \$1,211,000 Approved Developer Fee (in Project Cost & Eligible Basis): \$1,100,000 Investor/Consultant: **Community Economics** Federal Tax Credit Factor: \$0.80000

Per Regulation Section 10322(i)(4)(A), The "as if vacant" land value and the existing improvement value established at application, as well as the eligible basis amount derived from those values, will be used during all subsequent reviews including the placed in service review, for the purpose of determining the final award of Tax Credits.

Per Regulation Section 10327(c)(2)(C), Once established at the initial funded application, the developer fee cannot be increased, but may be decreased, in the event of a modification in basis.

Eligible Basis and Basis Limit

Requested Unadjusted Eligible Basis: \$10,350,431 Actual Eligible Basis: \$19,981,593 Unadjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$15,486,915 Total Adjusted Threshold Basis Limit: \$19,668,382

Adjustments to Basis Limit:

Required to Pay Prevailing Wages Parking Beneath Residential Units

Tie-Breaker Information

First: Large Family Second: 108.018%

Cost Analysis and Line Item Review

Staff analysis of project costs to determine reasonableness found all fees to be within TCAC's underwriting guidelines and TCAC limitations. Annual operating expenses exceed the minimum operating expenses established in the Regulations, and the project pro forma shows a positive cash flow from year one. Staff has calculated federal tax credits based on 9.00% of the qualified basis, or, in the case of acquisition credit combined with federal subsidies, 3.40%. Applicants are cautioned to consider the expected federal rate when negotiating with investors. TCAC's financial evaluation at project completion will determine the final allocation.

Special Issues/Other Significant Information:

In addition to 16 project-based Section 8 vouchers, the project has an MHSA operating subsidy with 8 units reserved for MHSA households.

The applicant has requested and been granted a housing type waiver (Regulation Section 10325(g)(1)(D)) for providing play/recreational facilities on the project site due to proximity to nearby recreational facilities.

Legal Status: Staff has reviewed the Applicant's responses to the questions contained in the Legal Status portion of the Application. No information was disclosed that raised any question regarding the financial viability or legal integrity of the applicant.

Local Reviewing Agency:

The Local Reviewing Agency, City of Oakland Community and Economic Development Agency, has completed a site review of this project and strongly supports this project.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Committee make a preliminary reservation of tax credits in the following amount(s) contingent upon standard conditions and any additional conditions imposed by the Committee:

Federal Tax Credits/Annual \$1,211,000 State Tax Credits/Total \$0

Standard Conditions

The applicant must submit all documentation required for a Carryover Allocation, any Readiness 150-Day Requirements elected, and a Final Reservation. Failure to provide the documentation at the time required may result in rescission of the Credit reservation and cancellation of a carryover allocation.

TCAC makes the preliminary reservation only for the project specified above in the form presented, and involving the parties referred to in the application. No changes in the development team or the project as presented will be permitted without the express approval of TCAC.

The applicant must pay TCAC a performance deposit and allocation fee calculated in accordance with regulation. Additionally, TCAC requires the project owner to pay a monitoring fee before issuance of tax forms.

As project costs are preliminary estimates only, staff recommends that a reservation be made in the amount of federal credit and state credit shown above on condition that the final project costs be supported by itemized lender approved costs and certified costs after the buildings are placed in service.

All unexpended funds in reserve accounts established for the project must remain with the project to be used for the benefit of the property and/or its residents, except for the portion of any accounts funded with deferred developer fees.

All fees charged to the project must be within TCAC limitations. Fees in excess of these limitations will not be considered when determining the amount of credit when the project is placed-in-service.

The applicant/owner shall be subject to underwriting criteria set forth in Section 10327 of the regulations through the final feasibility analysis performed by TCAC at placed-in-service.

Credit awards are contingent upon applicant's acceptance of any revised total project cost, qualified basis and tax credit amount determined by TCAC in its final feasibility analysis.

The applicant must ensure the project meets all Additional Threshold Requirements of the proposed project. If points were awarded for service amenities, the applicant will be required to provide such amenity or amenities identified in the application, for a minimum period of ten years and at no cost to the tenants. Applicants that received points for sustainable building methods (energy efficiency) must submit the certification required by Section 10325(c)(6) at project completion. Applicants that received increases (exceptions to limits) in the threshold basis limit under Section 10327(c)(5) must submit the certification required by Section 10322(i)(2) at project completion.

Additional Conditions: None

Points System	Max. Possible Points	Requested Points	Points Awarded
Cost Efficiency / Credit Reduction / Public Funds	20	20	20
Public Funds	20	20	20
Owner / Management Characteristics	9	9	9
General Partner Experience	6	6	6
Management Experience	3	3	3
Housing Needs	10	10	10
Site Amenities	15	15	15
Within ¼ mile of transit stop, service every 30 min, 25 units/acre density	7	7	7
Within ¼ mile of public park or community center open to general public	3	3	3
Within ½ mile of a full-scale grocery/supermarket of at least 25,000 sf	4	4	4
Large Family proj. w/i ¼ mile of public school project children may attend	3	3	3
Service Amenities	10	10	10
Other Services Specialist, minimum ratio of 1 FTE to 600 bedrooms	5	5	5
Adult educational classes, minimum instruction of 60 hours/year	5	5	5
Sustainable Building Methods	8	8	8
New construction/adaptive reuse energy efficiency 10% above Title 24	4	4	4
Flow restrictors for kitchen & bath faucets or water-saving fixtures	1	1	1
Formaldehyde-free insulation	1	1	1
Construction Indoor Air Quality Management plan	2	2	2
Lowest Income	52	52	52
Basic Targeting	50	50	50
Deeper Targeting – at least 10% of units @ 30% AMI or less	2	2	2
Readiness to Proceed	20	20	20
State Credit Substitution	2	2	2
Total Points	146	146	146

<u>Please Note:</u> If more than the maximum Site Amenity points were requested, not all amenities may have been scored and/or verified.

DO NOT RELY ON SCORING IN THIS COMPETITIVE CYCLE FOR FUTURE APPLICATIONS. ALL RE-APPLICATIONS ARE REVIEWED WITHOUT RELIANCE ON PAST SCORING.